

## **Request for Scrutiny Work Programme Item**

|   | Title of Work                            | Impact of the restructure in Revenues & Benefits, Customer  |
|---|--|---|
|   | Programme Item                           | Services, Cashiers and Incomes and Creditors  |
|   | 3  |   |
| 2 | Responsible                              | Adam Broome, Director for Corporate Support   |
|   | Director(s)                              |   |
|   |  |   |
| 3 | Responsible Officer(s)                   | Malcolm Coe, Assistant Director for Finance and Efficiencies  |
|   |  | 01752 304566  |
|   |  | John-Paul Sanders, Assistant Director for Customer Services   |
|   |  | and Business Transformation   |
|   |  | 07917 264723  |
| 4 | Relevant Cabinet                         | Councillor Ian Bowyer, Cabinet Member for Finance, Property,  |
| 7 | Member(s)                                | People and Governance   |
|   | Tierriber (3)                            | r copie and Governance  |
| 5 | Objectives                               | To review the impact of the restructure in the four service   |
|   | •  | areas in relation to:   |
|   |  | The duty of care to staff   |
|   |  | The impacts of an increased workload  |
|   |  | The experience of customers and stakeholders  |
|   |  | The backlog of cases  |
|   |  | The processing times of cases   |
|   |  | g r   |
|   |  | To make recommendations to the Overview and Scrutiny  |
|   |  | Management Board about how the service could negate the   |
|   |  | impact on the above concerns.   |
| 6 | Who will benefit?                        | Plymouth City Council and its Staff;  |
|   |  | Residents of Plymouth and the Customers of the four service   |
|   |  | areas;  |
|   |  | Stakeholders of the four service areas (Including DWP,  |
|   |  | Landlords and Plymouth Community Homes).  |
| 7 | Cuitania fan Clasasia                    | L. Circumst Council Brigains Walter for Community   |
| 7 | Criteria for Choosing Topics (see table) | City and Council Priority – Value for Communities     A poor performing service (high cost and low)                   |
|   | Topics (see table)                       | <ol><li>A poor performing service (high cost and low performance identified through benchmarking exercises)</li></ol> |
|   |  | 3. An interest of the public and stakeholders   |
|   |  | 3. All litterest of the public and stakeholders   |
| 8 | What will happen if we                   | The restructure of the service may have:  |
|   | don't do this review?                    | Reduced staff morale and confidence in fulfilling their   |
|   | 2.12                                     | duties  |
|   |  | The experience of customers and standards of the  |
|   |  | service may reduce below benchmarking standards   |
|   |  | Potential higher budgetary cost as a result of reduced  |
|   |  | quality of data provided to the DWP which will result in  |
|   |  | a claw back of over-payments.   |
|   |  | , ,   |
|   |  | 1   |

| 9  | What are we going to do?  | A one/two day Task and Finish review led by the Support Services OSP. The review will be undertaken post-restructure with the aim to be completed in September/October 2011.  |
|----|---|---|
| 10 | How are we going to do it? (witnesses, site visits, background information etc.)  | <ul> <li>There will be site visits to the four service areas which will incorporate visits to the Civic Centre and Ballard House. Site visits would include speaking to customers and staff.</li> <li>Witnesses would include, but would not be limited to, representatives from Plymouth Community Homes, DWP, Landlord Association and officers from the four service areas.</li> <li>Questionnaire feedback to be provided from customers using the four services.</li> <li>Review and compare the service performance for the past three years against performance indicators and benchmarked standards.</li> </ul> |
| 11 | What we won't do.   | <ul> <li>Review staff terms and conditions.</li> <li>Review service opening times</li> <li>Review service structure</li> <li>Review financial implications of the restructure</li> </ul>  |
| 12 | Timetable & Key Dates   | There will be a one/two day Task and Finish Review:  Day I — Evidence gathering  Day 2 — Review of all evidence, further evidence and recommendations.  |
| 13 | Links to other projects<br>or initiatives / plans   | Corporate Plan Accommodation Strategy People's Strategy ICT Strategy Corporate Support Delivery Plans Comprehensive Spending Review   |
| 14 | Relevant Overview and<br>Scrutiny Panel /<br>Membership if Task<br>and Finish Group (to<br>be decided by OSP<br>before submission to<br>OMB | This work programme request has been prepared by the Support Services Overview and Scrutiny Panel.  Membership = 6 members  |
| 15 | Where will the report go? Who will make the final decision  | The report will be forwarded to the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board for recommendations to be forwarded to the Cabinet and the Cabinet Member for Finance, Property, People and Governance.  |

| 16 | Resources (staffing, research, experts, sites visits and so on)                    | Officer time. Site visits (internal) |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|
| 17 | Is this part of a statutory responsibility on the panel?                           | No.                                  |
| 19 | Should any other panel be involved in this review? If so who and why?              | No.                                  |
| 20 | Will the task and finish group benefit from coopting any person(s) onto the panel. | No.                                  |

Criteria for choosing significant topics for Scrutiny Review (Items would be expected to meet at least two of the following criteria)

- Corporate priority area
- Poor performing service (evidence from Pls, benchmarking or where high levels of dissatisfaction from customers are recorded)
- High budgetary commitment
- Pattern of not reaching budget targets
- Issue raised by external audit, management letter, inspection report
- New government guidance or legislation
- Issue consistently identified by Members as key through constituency activity
- Public interest issue covered in local media